

DAC\$

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → ☐

PTO/SB/21 (12-97)
Approved for use through 9/30/00. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 19

Application Number	08/902,449
Filing Date	07/29/97
First Named Inventor	James F. Cheatham
Group Art Unit	3611
Examiner Name	F. Vanaman
Attorney Docket Number	6990-1004

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

- ☒ Fee Transmittal Form
- ☒ Fee Attached
- ☒ Amendment / Response
 - ☐ After Final
 - ☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)
- ☐ Extension of Time Request
- ☐ Express Abandonment Request
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement
- ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
- ☐ Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application
- ☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

- ☐ Assignment Papers (for an Application)
- ☒ Drawing(s)
- ☐ Licensing-related Papers
- ☐ Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition
- ☐ To Convert a Provisional Application
- ☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address
- ☐ Terminal Disclaimer
- ☐ Small Entity Statement
- ☐ Request for Refund

- ☐ After Allowance Communication to Group
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
- ☐ Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
- ☐ Proprietary Information
- ☐ Status Letter
- ☒ Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally; Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Issue Fee Transmittal; Change of Correspondence Address; Transmittal of Formal Drawings; and Post Card

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name Jon E. Hokanson

Signature

Date

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: 10/7/99

Typed or printed name Cheryl M. Watson

Signature

Date

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

RECEIVED

OCT 15 1999

SPECIAL PROGRAMS OFFICE
DACEOR PATENTS

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.


**FEE TRANSMITTAL
for FY 1999**

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Small Entity payments must be supported by a small entity statement, otherwise large entity fees must be paid. See Forms PTO/SB/09-12.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$1,360.00)**Complete if Known**

Application Number	08/902,449
Filing Date	07/29/97
First Named Inventor	James F. Cheatham
Examiner Name	F. Vanaman
Group / Art Unit	3611
Attorney Docket No.	6990-1004

**METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)**

1. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:

Deposit Account Number 19-2500
 Deposit Account Name SMALL LARKIN, LLP

- ☒ Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

2. ☒ Payment Enclosed:

☒ Check ☐ Money Order ☐ Other

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
101 760	201 380	Utility filing fee	
106 310	206 155	Design filing fee	
107 480	207 240	Plant filing fee	
108 760	208 380	Reissue filing fee	
114 150	214 75	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
Independent Claims	-20** =	X	
Multiple Dependent	-3** =	X	

number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
103 18	203 9	Claims in excess of 20.	
102 78	202 39	Independent claims in excess of 3	
104 260	204 130	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	
109 78	209 39	** Reissue independent claims over original patent	
110 18	210 9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)

FEE CALCULATION (continued)**3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
105 130	205 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127 50	227 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
139 130	139 130	Non-English specification	
147 2,520	147 2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	
112 920*	112 920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113 1,840*	113 1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115 110	215 55	Extension for reply within first month	
116 380	216 190	Extension for reply within second month	
117 870	217 435	Extension for reply within third month	
118 1,360	218 680	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128 1,850	228 925	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119 300	219 150	Notice of Appeal	
120 300	220 150	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121 260	221 130	Request for oral hearing	
138 1,510	138 1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140 110	240 55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141 1,210	241 605	Petition to revive - unintentional	605.00
142 1,210	242 605	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143 430	243 215	Design issue fee	
144 580	244 290	Plant issue fee	
122 130	122 130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123 50	123 50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
126 240	126 240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581 40	581 40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
146 760	246 380	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
149 760	249 380	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
Other fee (specify) <u>ISSUE FEE (including fee for 50 copies of patent)</u>			755.00

* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$1,360.00)

SUBMITTED BY

Typed or Printed Name JON E. HOKANSON

Signature [Signature]

Date 10/6/99

Complete (if applicable)

Reg. Number 30,069

Deposit Account User ID

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

RECEIVED

OCT 15 1999

SPECIAL PROGRAMS OFFICE
DAC FOR PATENTS



PATENT
6990-1004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:
James Cheatham et al.

Serial No: 08/902,449

Filed: 07/29/97

For: ONE PIECE SKATE CHASSIS

Art Unit: 3611

Examiner: Frank Vanaman

RECEIVED

OCT 13 1999

**SPECIAL PROGRAMS OFFICE
DAC FOR PATENTS**

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:


In the Examiner's amendment dated June 29, 1999, a Statement of "Reasons For Allowance" was included. Applicant considers comments necessary to clarify the record in this regard.

The statement of reasons for allowance contains the statement that "While the Monroy reference teaches an entire frame which has been extruded or coined, it fails to teach the wheel spacers as being later coined (as set forth in applicant's claim 1 for example) or subsequently being coined from the material forming the side pieces (as set forth in applicant's claims 13-15 and 18)." Applicant takes issue with this statement to the extent that it implies, infers or suggests that Monroy teaches an entire frame which has been "coined". There is no suggestion, teaching or disclosure that the entire frame, or any part of the frame in the Monroy

reference is "coined". Rather, the entire frame has been extruded, and that part of the frame which forms the "spacers" has been machined. Monroy does not mention coining, and the extrusion process of Monroy is not a coining process, as that term is known and used by those skilled in this field. Monroy's only use of an extrusion process is to create a single piece of channel extrusion, similar to sliding shower door channel extrusions. That single piece of channel is later machined in such a way so as to create an axel spacer, as that term is known, used and understood by those skilled in this field.

Thus, for the above reasons, Applicant believes that the phrase "or coined" found on the last line of page 2 of the Notice of Allowability dated June 29, 1999, is in error, and should be deleted in order to render the "Reasons For Allowance" correct.

Respectfully submitted,


Jon E. Hokanson,
Reg. No. 30,069
Attorney for Applicant

Dated: July 30, 1999

SMALL LARKIN, LLP
10940 Wilshire Boulevard
Eighteenth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90024-3945
Tel: (310) 209-4499
Fax: (310) 209-4450